

L6M5call

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

4 v.

19 CR 366 (LGS)

5 STEPHEN M. CALK,

6 Defendant.

7 -----x
8 New York, N.Y.
9 June 22, 2021
10 9:45 a.m.

11 Before:

12 HON. LORNA G. SCHOFIELD,

13 District Judge
14 And A Jury

15 APPEARANCES

16 AUDREY STRAUSS

17 United States Attorney for the
18 Southern District of New York

19 PAUL MONTELEONI

20 HAGAN SCOTTEN

21 ALEXANDRA ROTHMAN

22 KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL

23 Attorneys for Defendant

24 BY: PAUL SCHOEMAN

25 DARREN LaVERNE

MICHELLE BEN-DAVID

LOEB & LOEB

Attorneys for Defendant

BY: JEREMY MARGOLIS

L6M5call

(Case called)

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Counsel, please state your name for the record.

MR. MONTELEONI: Good morning, your Honor. Paul Monteleoni, Hagan Scotten, and Alexandra Rothman for the government.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MR. SCOTTEN: Good morning, your Honor.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MR. SCHOEMAN: Good morning, your Honor. Paul Schoeman, Darren LaVerne, Jeremy Margolis, this is Mr. Calk, and, my colleague, Michelle Ben-David, is here for the defense.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MR. LaVERNE: Good morning, your Honor.

THE DEFENDANT: Good morning, your Honor.

THE COURT: So, I'm not sure when the venire will be ready but I thought we could at least take this opportunity to take care of some housekeeping matters. I received a couple of applications since we were last together I think over the weekend but, in any event, it seemed to me that none were so urgent that we had to meet yesterday to address them. So, let me first address the issue of summary charts.

I received an application from the defendant to preclude the use of certain summary charts summarizing exhibits and essentially placing them in chronological order. I

L6M5call

1 received a response from the government. I think most telling
2 was the case that was cited by the government, *United States v.*
3 *Ho*, a reported Second Circuit case which obviously is
4 precedential and binding on me. on very, very similar facts,
5 meaning similar submission of summary charts, the district
6 court allowed the charts in with limiting instructions. And
7 so, my proposal, if there is a sufficient foundation -- or my
8 inclination, let's put it that way, is to do that. But I'm not
9 going to pre-admit them, I will wait until Agent Baccari lays
10 the foundation -- and I assume the government will do that in
11 the way that the government has proffered -- and she will give
12 the kind of testimony that the government has proffered which
13 seems to me proper under *United States v. Ho*. And then,
14 assuming that there is sufficient foundation and proper
15 testimony, I would be inclined to admit the summary charts at
16 that point but I would expect you to offer them and, if there
17 is any objection, you will of course object.

18 Mr. Schoeman?

19 MR. SCHOEMAN: Your Honor, may I make a couple of
20 points about the *Ho* case that was cited by the government?

21 THE COURT: Yes.

22 MR. SCHOEMAN: Because I think it actually makes
23 some -- can you hear me?

24 THE COURT: Not exactly.

25 MR. SCHOEMAN: All right. I will speak louder.

L6M5call

1 THE COURT: Wonderful.

2 MR. SCHOEMAN: I think the *Ho* case makes implicitly
3 some of the points that the defense was making. *Ho* was a trial
4 where there were three percipient witnesses who testified in
5 front of Judge Preska. The percipient witnesses all testified
6 first and then the government called their summary witnesses
7 afterwards so that there was no percipient witness who
8 testified subsequent to the admission of the charts. And the
9 concern that the defense has, which is reflected in the cases
10 we cite, is that if the government starts its case with an
11 agent who has no firsthand or personal knowledge and then calls
12 witnesses who will say something consistent, it creates an
13 illusion for the jury that there are actually two witnesses who
14 are saying what happened. And because the summary agent
15 actually has no knowledge and really should only be testifying
16 to the form of this is a piece of paper that I have seen, it's
17 a little -- it is misleading to the jury. It is a kind of
18 overview witness that sort of corroborates the government's
19 case. And so, our real objection was both that the charts were
20 turned over late but also that the government seems like it
21 wants to call its summary witness before any other testimony as
22 a way of suggesting that the FBI has done an investigation and
23 agrees with the witnesses who are to follow.

24 So, in the *Ho* case I think the only issue in the *Ho*
25 case was that the defense said those charts are perfectly

L6M5call

1 accurate, we don't dispute them, we just don't want them to
2 come into evidence. That's a sort of minor part of what we are
3 objecting to. It is really the previewing of the case with an
4 FBI agent before any of the evidence has been admitted through
5 a percipient witness.

6 THE COURT: OK. I mean I will hear from the
7 government in just a second but my inclination is, based on the
8 government's representation, that this would not be the first
9 witness and that in fact the plan is for this witness to be the
10 sixth witness, subject to the variables and vagaries of our
11 schedule. I appreciate having heard your objection because I
12 will try to make it clear in my limiting instruction that what
13 they are hearing is not evidence. I am sure, when the
14 government lays the foundation, they will make it clear that
15 this is essentially a summary of things that are in evidence
16 and I will instruct the jury that part of their job is to
17 assure and be satisfied that it is in fact an accurate summary
18 of the evidence and that it is not evidence itself which is
19 what I understand was the thrust of the instruction in *Ho*.

20 Thank you for your comment.

21 MR. SCHOEMAN: Thank you, your Honor.

22 THE COURT: So that is summary charts.

23 With respect to Government's Exhibits 610 and 611,
24 there was an objection as to hearsay. The government has
25 redacted those exhibits and eliminated, to me, what seems like

L6M5call

1 hearsay, they're not statements offered for the truth
2 remaining. I'm not going to pre-admit them because there is
3 still I think an objection as to authentication. So, I will
4 reserve on that, you can offer them at the time, and my
5 inclination, if the objection is hearsay, would be to admit
6 them. If there is any other objection I would like to hear it
7 now because I don't allow speaking objections, although one
8 word is OK. It is all right to stand up and say "hearsay" but
9 I don't want a sentence or anything longer than that. So, we
10 can wait until then.

11 With respect to the preliminary charge, thank you. I
12 know you have got a lot to do in the days right before trial
13 and I had invited you to submit to me an alternative to the
14 instruction that I had proposed to give to the jury about the
15 nature of the charges and what their job would be during the
16 trial and I have reviewed what you submitted. My decision is
17 that I will give the preliminary charge that we discussed at
18 the final pretrial conference and that I had distributed in
19 advance of the final pretrial conference and the reason is that
20 it seemed to me that it is more balanced. The submission from
21 the defendant I think puts emphasis on what I anticipate the
22 defenses would be and, frankly, that is what a good defense
23 lawyer would submit. So, thank you, but I think what I will do
24 is just give the charge that I had distributed and that we
25 discussed.

L6M5call

1 And then in terms of exhibits, I am prepared to admit
2 exhibits now, certain exhibits. Based on the chart that I
3 received from the government what I am admitting now are
4 exhibits as to which there were no objections. So, in other
5 words, both parties agreed to admissibility and that includes
6 both government exhibits and defense exhibits, as well as
7 exhibits where I received further argument from the parties in
8 your submissions, we discussed some of them at the final
9 pretrial conference, and I gave you indications of what my
10 rulings were as to overrule objections or accepting the
11 objections.

12 So, let me get the appropriate document and I will
13 read them into the record. So, I am admitting now Government's
14 Exhibits: 1 through 5, 101 through 108, 110 through 121, 131,
15 137, 139 to 144, 146 and 147, 149 and 150, 152, 154, 156, 158
16 to 161, 163 to 164, 169, 172 to 173, 175 to 176, 181 to 182,
17 184, 186, 186-B, 181 to 191, 193, 196 to 198, 200 to 203, 206,
18 208, 211 to 213, 215 to 217, 219, 222, 225 to 226, 228 to 230,
19 230-A, 231, 233 to 237, 240, 244 to 245, 248 to 249, 252, 254,
20 256, 257, 259 to 261, 263 and 264, 266, 268 to 272, 276, 278 to
21 284, 286, 289 to 290, 291-A, 293, 295 to 300-A, 302, 304 to
22 312, 314, 316, 317 to 325, 327, 330, 332 to 333, 334 to 337,
23 341, 345, 348 to 349, 360-A to 360-D, 451-A, 451-I, 451-1R, as
24 in Robert, 451-T, 453 to 455, 501 to 501-3, 551, 562, 566 and
25 567, 801 to 812, 851 to 854, 951, 954 to 955, 957 to 959, 1009

L6M5call

1 to 1012, 1102, 1201, 1202 to 1208, 1209 and 1210, 1211 and
2 1212, 1213 to 1218, 1251, 2201 to 2212 and 2216.

3 Those are all Government's Exhibits.

4 (Government's Exhibits 1 to 5, 101 to 108, 110 to 121,
5 131, 137, 139 to 144, 146, 147, 149, 150, 152, 154, 156, 158 to
6 161, 163 to 164, 169, 172 to 173, 175 to 176, 181 to 182, 184,
7 186, 186-B, 181 to 191, 193, 196 to 198, 200 to 203, 206, 208,
8 211 to 213, 215 to 217, 219, 222, 225 to 226, 228 to 230,
9 230-A, 231, 233 to 237, 240, 244 to 245, 248 to 249, 252, 254,
10 256, 257, 259 to 261, 263, 264, 266, 268 to 272, 276, 278 to
11 284, 286, 289 to 290, 291-A, 293, 295 to 300-A, 302, 304 to
12 312, 314, 316, 317 to 325, 327, 330, 332 to 333, 334 to 337,
13 341, 345, 348 to 349, 360-A to 360-D, 451-A, 451-I, 451-1R,
14 451-T, 453 to 455, 501 to 501-3, 551, 562, 566, 567, 801 to
15 812, 851 to 854, 951, 954 to 955, 957 to 959, 1009 to 1012,
16 1102, 1201, 1202 to 1208, 1209, 1210, 1211, 1212, 1213 to 1218,
17 1251, 2201 to 2212, 2216 received in evidence)

18 THE COURT: I am also admitting the following defense
19 exhibits: 10-18, 100, 104 to 110, 120 and 121, 121-A to 121-F,
20 122 and 122-A and 122-B, 123 to 125, 125-A to 125-E, 127, 131,
21 133, 141, 141-A, 142, 146, 146-A, 147 and 148, 148-A, 149 and
22 149-A, 151 and 152, 154 and 155, 155-A, 156, 157, 157-A and
23 157-B, 158 and 158-A, 159 to 161, 161-A and 161-B, 162, 162-A
24 and 162-B, 164, 164-A and 164-B, 166, 167, 167-A to 167-D, 169,
25 172 and 173, 173-A, 179 and 179-A, 180, 181 and 181-A, 183 and

L6M5call

1 183-A, 184 and 184-A, 185, and 185-A and 185-B, 186, 186-A and
2 186-B, 187, 191, 191-A and 191-B, 192, 192-A and 192-B, 193,
3 193-A, 199 and 200, 200-A, 203 and 204, 207 and 208, 208-A and
4 208-D, 210, 217, 217-A through 217-C, 222 through 224, 224-A to
5 224-B, 225 and 226, 226-A and 226-B, 227, 232, and 232-A and
6 232-B, 233 and 233-A through 233-F, 234, 237, 245 and 246,
7 246-A to 246-C, 247 to 249, 249-A through 249-B.

8 (Defendant's Exhibits 10 to 18, 100, 104 to 110, 120,
9 121, 121-A to 121-F, 122, 122-A, 122-B, 123 to 125, 125-A to
10 125-E, 127, 131, 133, 141, 141-A, 142, 146, 146-A, 147, 148,
11 148-A, 149, 149-A, 151, 152, 154, 155, 155-A, 156, 157, 157-A,
12 157-B, 158, 158-A, 159 to 161, 161-A, 161-B, 162, 162-A, 162-B,
13 164, 164-A, 164-B, 166, 167, 167-A to 167-D, 169, 172, 173,
14 173-A, 179, 179-A, 180, 181, 181-A, 183, 183-A, 184, 184-A,
15 185, 185-A, 185-B, 186, 186-A, 186-B, 187, 191, 191-A, 191-B,
16 192, 192-A, 192-B, 193, 193-A, 199, 200, 200-A, 203, 204, 207,
17 208, 208-A, 208-D, 210, 217, 217-A through 217-C, 222 through
18 224, 224-A to 224-B, 225, 226, 226-A, 226-B, 227, 232, 232-A
19 and 232-B, 233, 233-A through 233-F, 234, 237, 245, 246, 246-A
20 to 246-C, 247 to 249, 249-A through 249-B received in evidence)

21 THE COURT: I am also admitting, in part, the
22 following exhibits and the "in part" was discussed at the final
23 pretrial conference and I think everyone understands what the
24 limitations are: 51-1 through 51-5, 136, 165, 167, 185, 209,
25 218, 223, 227, 273, 315 and 953.

L6M5call

Those were all Government's Exhibits.

(Government's Exhibits 51-1 through 51-5, 136, 165, 167, 185, 209, 218, 223, 227, 273, 315, 953 received in evidence)

THE COURT: There are also two government's exhibits that I am admitting subject to connection or admitting conditionally and they are 552 and 1152.

(Government's Exhibits 552 and 1152 received in evidence)

THE COURT: Anything else you want in evidence you should move into evidence either at the time during the testimony or if there is some discussion you want you can ask for it either at the beginning of the trial day or at the end of the trial day.

Yes?

MR. MONTELEONI: Thank you, your Honor. On that, there are several exhibits that we have added and some that the defense have added recently. Obviously, since those happened after the sort of prescribed objection time we haven't really gotten to a point of exchanging objections and I was just wondering, would it be possible to just sort of set a time for when we would do that? We would certainly propose talking to the defense about it tonight but we would like to get some of these new exhibits ready for potentially our witnesses tomorrow.

L6M5call

1 THE COURT: So, ideally what you would do is talk to
2 each other and perhaps agree to the admissibility of some
3 substantial portion and then, in the same way that you had
4 grouped the exhibits for our prior discussions, if there are
5 groupings, or if you think they are similar to my rulings as to
6 other exhibits, if you could present that to me? I am happy to
7 hear it whenever and however the parties can agree, and if you
8 can't agree then I will impose something. But, I would let you
9 talk first to see if you can agree on something.

10 Let me just ask Mr. Street where we are with the
11 venire because you may even have time to talk now. (pause)

12 So we haven't heard anything about the venire or any
13 projections when they might be ready so I will go off the
14 bench, I will give you a chance to talk to each other if you
15 can agree on something or, if you can't, you can respectively
16 propose something, then I will hear it.

17 Yes?

18 MR. MONTELEONI: Thank you, your Honor.

19 As soon as there is a break we will talk to the
20 defense about it. We have two other things to raise. One,
21 just as a sort of a housekeeping matter, I understand that the
22 Court's rule, COVID protocols for non-jury proceedings are
23 somewhat different and more relaxed than the jury proceedings.
24 If, during times like this when the jury isn't here it would be
25 possible to sort of apply the non-jury rules and bring an extra

L6M5call

1 chair so the AUSAs could all be at the table we would
2 appreciate that.

3 THE COURT: I am going to deny that. Sorry. And the
4 only reason is that I don't want to defy my Court's rules and
5 the rule, it says for non-jury proceedings and this is a jury
6 proceeding even though the jury is not here and so I would
7 like, as much as I would like to take my mask off but that
8 doesn't seem to be the protocol. My guess is that it will
9 change during the course of this trial. I think it will change
10 soon.

11 MR. MONTELEONI: All right. Thank you, your Honor.

12 The other is that we -- I think that there are some
13 exhibits that are now fully briefed that we put in a letter in
14 the 700 series, 701, 702, 704 and 719 that we had letters
15 coming in over the weekend on. I don't know if the Court
16 wishes to hear from us on that.

17 THE COURT: Maybe the thing for me to do, can you just
18 remind me which one those exhibits are?

19 MR. SCOTTEN: Your Honor, I will give you the exhibits
20 and also the docket numbers. The government had a letter of
21 June 18 which is docket no. 225 which the defense responded to
22 on June 20th in docket no. 227, and there are sort of two
23 issues in there. The first is as to Government Exhibit 701,
24 702, 704 and 719 which are OCC regulations that were e-mailed
25 to the defendant. And then a separate issue, Government

L6M5call

1 Exhibit 285, which is the voicemail left by James Brennan --
2 this wasn't ruled up on at the PTC because it was a voicemail
3 and wasn't teed up right. Frankly, on the voicemail -- and
4 there is no urgency, Mr. Brennan is not testifying today or
5 unless we go very quickly tomorrow -- we would appreciate the
6 Court's ruling on the OCC exhibits because Mr. Paulson is
7 likely to testify fairly soon. It is a fairly minor issue. We
8 already have a ruling on the substance of his testimony. The
9 question is can he show the regulations that were emailed to
10 the defendant which we think it is helpful both in showing the
11 jury what the regulations --

12 THE COURT: Just say that again? The issue is?

13 MR. SCOTTEN: So, the issue is just the admissibility
14 of the exhibits and the exhibits are, to be more specific, two
15 cover letters that would be emailed by the OCC to, among other
16 people, bank chairmen -- actually bank CEOs which the defendant
17 was; and then two organization portions of two OCC regulations
18 which were sort of the regulations being announced by the cover
19 letters. And so, we want to show those regulations to the jury
20 so that when Mr. Paulson testifies, as the Court has already
21 ruled he can, about background regulation and that banks were
22 informed of this and that the defendant was likely to be aware
23 of them we want to say, one, here is what he is talking about
24 when he talks about X regulation; and two, look, this was
25 actually sent to the defendant so this is one of the ways in

L6M5call

1 which a bank CEO would be informed of these regulations.

2 THE COURT: Or at least this was sent to bank CEOs and
3 the defendant is a bank CEO.

4 MR. SCOTTEN: Correct. He was at the time that they
5 were emailed out.

6 And since your Honor gave me a minute I should note,
7 just so that if your Honor is going to resolve it over lunch or
8 something, that in the defense response they make two requests
9 which we are actually agreeable with so there is no need for
10 your Honor to consider it. One is they ask to not elicit
11 Mr. Paulson's current position. He is currently essentially
12 the no. 2 at the OCC and we are fine with that, it doesn't have
13 any relevance to his testimony. We do need to elicit his
14 position as of 2018 where he was sort of head of the OCC
15 Chicago office. That's important because that's how he gets to
16 meet the defendant and have this interaction he is going to
17 testify about.

18 THE COURT: Is there any objection to that?

19 MR. SCOTTEN: I don't know. They just ask that he not
20 talk about his current position.

21 THE COURT: I will hear from the defendant in a
22 second.

23 So, you said in the defense response there were two
24 requests with which you agree; one was not to elicit his
25 current position and the other was?

L6M5call

1 MR. SCOTTEN: The other is the defense -- I'm not sure
2 if it was a request so much as a complaint -- not to be
3 pejorative -- but they were concerned that if we asked
4 Mr. Paulson what the defendant said about the loans, one of the
5 things Mr. Paulson would testify to was that the defendant
6 essentially said any banker in Chicago would have made these
7 loans because the collateral was great. And the defense said,
8 well, if we bring that out of Mr. Calk and then five minutes
9 later Mr. Paulson is testifying under OCC regulations "the
10 collateral is great" is not a safe and sound banking practice
11 it would look like we were using Mr. Paulson to kind of
12 directly rebut the defendant's testimony alone. We do not
13 intend to elicit that statement, it is frankly an exculpatory
14 statement by the defendant that we don't want to elicit and the
15 defense has no right to elicit. We are solely going to ask
16 Mr. Paulson about essentially whether -- about the false
17 statement that the defendant played to him denying that he
18 sought a position in the Trump Administration and he did this
19 in the context of the Manafort loans. We are not going to
20 elicit the defendant's substantive statements on the quality of
21 the Manafort loans and therefore when Mr. Paulson talks about
22 these OCC regulations it will not, you know, look like he is
23 sort of telling you, *Hey, look, the OCC regulations --*

24 THE COURT: It sounds like that was an effective
25 defense request to which you conceded.

L6M5call

1 MR. SCOTTEN: I think that's right, your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Since we are talking about these why don't
3 I hear from the defense both on Government's Exhibits 701, 702,
4 704 and 719 and then anything else.

5 MR. LAVERNE: Thank you, your Honor. And we
6 appreciate the government's concession of those couple of
7 points and I think that that fielded that issue. Just though I
8 would see in terms of identifying Mr. Paulson's position, my
9 understanding is at the time of the events in question I think
10 his title is something like senior deputy comptroller of the
11 OCC. I disagree with Mr. Scotten. I don't think it is
12 necessary to say what his title was or the seniority of his
13 position at the time of this meeting. The meeting can easily
14 be explained by saying simply that Mr. Paulson was employed by
15 the OCC, he was involved in the examination -- or however they
16 want to put it. I don't think that eliciting his title is
17 relevant here and I think that even saying he is the head of
18 the Chicago office or he is Senior Deputy Comptroller is very
19 likely to have prejudicial effect given the concerns your Honor
20 raised the last time we discussed the issue about the jury
21 being given the impression that he was a high-level person from
22 the OCC here talking about these regulations, their importance,
23 bankers always follow them. It is going to have an unfair
24 prejudicial effect on Mr. Calk.

25 MR. SCOTTEN: Your Honor, if the title is a concern we

L6M5call

1 are happy to not ask about the title. We don't think that
2 really conveys anything. The fact that Mr. Paulson was
3 managing the Chicago office is relevant. One, the jury is also
4 going to hear from sort of the line-level bank examiner about a
5 different meeting. It is important to know why there is two
6 meetings; and two, the fact that this was a meeting called at
7 Mr. Calk's request of the chairman of the bank saying I want to
8 talk to sort of the regional manager lends weight and
9 significance to the meeting where Mr. Calk goes on to make
10 false statements about his interest in the position. It is
11 significant that it is sort of a principal-to-principal
12 meeting. I don't think saying *I was in charge of the Chicago*
13 *office* is going to imbue Mr. Paulson with such authority -- it
14 is not like saying he is the head of the OCC -- which he was
15 recently, we are not going to tell the jury that -- but I think
16 it is fine to say, look, I managed the Chicago office, one of
17 my regional banks wanted to meet with me. I think that makes
18 sense to the jury.

19 MR. LAVERNE: If the government wants to say he
20 managed the Chicago office, that is fine.

21 THE COURT: OK. You want to say that he managed the
22 Chicago office and agree with that and everyone agrees?

23 MR. SCOTTEN: I will talk to Mr. Paulson beforehand.
24 I will ask him what were you doing for OCC at the time. He may
25 say I ran the Chicago office, I was in charge of the Chicago

L6M5call

1 offices or something like that.

2 THE COURT: You might prompt him for "manage".

3 MR. SCOTTEN: Manage is better. I am sure I can get
4 him to say that.

5 THE COURT: What about the regulations and cover
6 letters, Government Exhibit 701, 702, 704, 719?

7 MR. LAVERNE: I think with all the agreements we just
8 happened to reach in the courtroom I think we are OK, we will
9 cross on those exhibits.

10 THE COURT: So I will admit 701, 702, 704 and 719.

11 (Government's Exhibits 701, 702, 704 and 719 received
12 in evidence)

13 THE COURT: Is there anything else we can take care of
14 right now?

15 MR. SCOTTEN: No, your Honor. 285 can wait, it is an
16 open issue, it is exceedingly minor and won't come up today.

17 THE COURT: OK.

18 MR. SCHOEMAN: Your Honor, the tiniest of clerical
19 issues. When your Honor admitted exhibit -- I think you said
20 "10 dash 18" and I want to make it clear for the record it is
21 intended to be 10 through 18.

22 THE COURT: Through 18, OK. Were those defense
23 exhibits?

24 MR. SCHOEMAN: Those are defense exhibits.

25 THE COURT: OK. So thank you for the correction.

L6M5call

Defense Exhibits 10 through 18 are admitted.

We are adjourned now briefly. You can confer with each other about additional exhibits and Mr. Street will let us know when we have word about the venire.

(Recess; Jury selection under separate cover)

GOVERNMENT EXHIBITS

| Exhibit No. | Received |
|-------------|----------|
|-------------|----------|

| | |
|--|-----|
| 1 to 5, 101 to 108, 110 to 121, 131, | .11 |
|--|-----|

| | |
|--------------------------------|--|
| 137, 139 to 144, 146, 147, | |
| 149, 150, 152, 154, 156, 158 | |
| to 161, 163 to 164, 169, 172 | |
| to 173, 175 to 176, 181 to | |
| 182, 184, 186, 186-B, 181 to | |
| 191, 193, 196 to 198, 200 to | |
| 203, 206, 208, 211 to 213, 215 | |
| to 217, 219, 222, 225 to 226, | |
| 228 to 230, 230-A, 231, 233 to | |
| 237, 240, 244 to 245, 248 to | |
| 249, 252, 254, 256, 257, 259 | |
| to 261, 263, 264, 266, 268 to | |
| 272, 276, 278 to 284, 286, 289 | |
| to 290, 291-A, 293, 295 to | |
| 300-A, 302, 304 to 312, 314, | |
| 316, 317 to 325, 327, 330, 332 | |

L6M5call

1 to 333, 334 to 337, 341, 345,
 2 348 to 349, 360-A to 360-D,
 3 451-A, 451-I, 451-1R, 451-T,
 4 453 to 455, 501 to 501-3, 551,
 5 562, 566, 567, 801 to 812, 851
 6 to 854, 951, 954 to 955, 957
 7 to 959, 1009 to 1012, 1102,
 8 1201, 1202 to 1208, 1209,
 9 1210, 1211, 1212, 1213 to
 10 1218, 1251, 2201 to 2212, 2216
 11 51-1 through 51-5, 136, 165, 167, 185,13
 12 209, 218, 223, 227, 273, 315,
 13 953
 14 552 and 115213
 15 701, 702, 704 and 71921

DEFENDANT EXHIBITS

| Exhibit No. | Received |
|--|----------|
| 10 to 18, 100, 104 to 110, 120, 121, | .12 |
| 121-A to 121-F, 122, 122-A, | |
| 122-B, 123 to 125, 125-A to | |
| 125-E, 127, 131, 133, 141, | |
| 141-A, 142, 146, 146-A, 147, | |
| 148, 148-A, 149, 149-A, 151, | |
| 152, 154, 155, 155-A, 156, | |
| 157, 157-A, 157-B, 158, 158-A, | |

L6M5call

159 to 161, 161-A, 161-B, 162,
162-A, 162-B, 164, 164-A,
164-B, 166, 167, 167-A to
167-D, 169, 172, 173, 173-A,
179, 179-A, 180, 181, 181-A,
183, 183-A, 184, 184-A, 185,
185-A, 185-B, 186, 186-A,
186-B, 187, 191, 191-A, 191-B,
192, 192-A, 192-B, 193, 193-A,
199, 200, 200-A, 203, 204,
207, 208, 208-A, 208-D, 210,
217, 217-A through 217-C, 222
through 224, 224-A to 224-B,
225, 226, 226-A, 226-B, 227,
232, 232-A and 232-B, 233,
233-A through 233-F, 234, 237,
245, 246, 246-A to 246-C, 247
to 249, 249-A through 249-B